IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 18862 of 2005

Date: 25.08.2005

Between:

Smt. K. Lakshmi, W/o. Subrahmanyam,

Vijayawada, Krishna District.

PETITIONER

Vs.

The Vice-Chairman-Cum-Managing Director,

A.P.S.R.T.C., Hyderabad and others

RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not considering the representations made by him on 18.07.2005 and 02.08.2005.

2. It is stated that as the petitioner was a successful bidder he was granted licence for running a Canteen at New Bus Station, Eluru with reference to specified items, as per the deed of license dated 10.01.2003, which is valid for a period of eight years. It is further stated that the petitioner has been suffering loss on account of allowing another licensee to run a Sweat Shop in the same Bus Station Complex, to sell the same items, which the petitioner was permitted. Therefore, the petitioner made representations dated 18.07.2005 and 02.08.2005. But, however, the respondents neither considered the said representations nor passed any orders. Therefore, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation.

4. The only grievance of the petitioner is that the representations made by him were

not considered for reduction of license fee by 15%. In view of the difficulties explained by him in the said representations and as it is stated that the representations have been made to the respondents 2 and 3, it is appropriate for the respondents 2 and 3 to consider the said representations.

5 . At the time of hearing, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation stated that the second respondent is the authority to consider the said representations. Hence, the second respondent is directed to consider the said representations and pass appropriate orders, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

S. Ananda Reddy, J

Date: 25.08.2005

va